



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/462,616	04/03/2000	GUNTER MARINGER	0745/61002/N	5313
7590 08/08/2005			EXAMINER	
NORMAN H ZIVIN COOPER & DUNHAM			KLIMACH, PAULA W	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10036			2135	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/462,616	MARINGER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Paula W. Klimach	2135

Derote the timing of an Appear Biter	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paula W. Klimach	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	<u> </u>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened subove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee! tatutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
	but prior to the date of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hooguso				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: The new claims have new issues included the process of authenticating the terminal by matching the first calculated response with the first response. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appoarry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be 	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	b	KIM VII					
	1 \	PARLET WILL					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE